UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/567,339 | 11/30/2006 | Yoshitaka Hara | 285598US2PCT | 5325 | |
| | 7590 11/24/200 AK, MCCLELLAND 1 | EXAMINER | | | |
| 1940 DUKE STREET | | | PILAPITIYA, NALIN B | | |
| ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2617 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 11/24/2009 | ELECTRONIC | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|------------------|--------------|--|
| 10/567,339 | HARA ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| NALIN PILAPITIYA | 2617 | |

| | NALIN PILAPITIYA | 2617 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 November 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS | S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F | OR ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance v | r, or other evidence, whith 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>5</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth i ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| | FIRST REPLY WAS FI | LED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13 ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing date | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | " | | 6.11 |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the company. | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, k (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bether the content of the cont | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); | E below); | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a c | | | ie issues ioi |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | serresponding number of finally reje | otou olamio. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (l | PTOL-324). |
| Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | | imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov | | be entered and an ex | xplanation of |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-24</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | l and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | itry is below or attach | ed. |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | • | | ce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s). <u>8/4/09 at</u> | <u>nd 11/10/09.</u> | |
| /Rafael Pérez-Gutiérrez/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617 | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because Trikkonen meets claim 21 argued byt the Applicant as follows: Claim 21 recites, in part:

determining, based on the received control information (paragraph 322; "provides weights to the different beams which take into account for example the condition of the channel"; paragraph 147 and 148 "This information may be based either on measurements at the transmitter or feedback information from the receiver to the transmitter... The beamforming circuitry may carry out any of the above embodiments dependent on the control signal W [W contains the weights]" the determination of the weights is based on the control infmarion that is received through feedback from the receiver to the transmitter), a first weight for one of the plurality of information signals with respect to the plurality of antennas (paragraph 140; "The complex weight factor w may be different for different antenna array elements" each antenna has a different weight associated with it); "The complex weight factor w may be different for different antenna array elements" each antenna has a different weight associated with it):

generating a first operation result by multiplying the one of the plurality of information signals by the first weight, and generating a second operation result by multiplying the another one of the plurality of information signals by the second weight (paragraph 322, 155, and equation 10; equation 10 shows that the transmission beams matrix Nb, containing a plurality of transmission beams or information signals, is multiplied by the weights W, containing a plurality of weights); and

generating, based on the first operation result and the second operation result, a plurality of the output signals each corresponding to one of the plurality of antennas, and transmitting the plurality of the output signals to the system of the communication partner (equation 10, paragraph 155 and 153; equation 10 shows that the output signals, CWH +Ni, are transmitted and received by the matrix R, received signals).

Therefore, the Final Rejection is maintained by the Examiner.